



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,315	02/17/2004	Steven Horan	A9038	5247
80/928 7590 04/16/2009 Sughrue Mion-ABBOTT LABS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037				
EXAMINER				
MCEVOY, THOMAS M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3731				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/16/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/779,315

Applicant(s)

HORAN ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas McEvoy

Art Unit

3731

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANH Tuan T. NGUYEN.(3) KELLY MCCRYSTLE.(2) THOMAS MCEVOY.(4) HUI WAUTERS.

Date of Interview: 4/15/2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lenker et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners and Applicant's attorneys agreed that the proposed claim amendment would overcome the 102 rejection over Lenker et al. and would overcome a 103 rejection over Lenker et al. alone.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anh Tuan T. Nguyen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731